of $7 \delta 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.81 (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.86 (dd, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 (m, 1 H ), $3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$; IR (KBr) 3392, 3263, 3045, 2972, 2929, 1612, 1594, 1575, 1553, 1489, 1439, 1405, 1337, 1129, $1117 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} 256.1212$, found 256.1205 .

Preparation of the Imino Epoxide 34. To a stirred solution of the tosylate 33 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of dry THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 0.26 mL of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiN}(\text { TMS })_{2}$ in THF ( 0.26 mmol ) dropwise, and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the end of the stirring 20 mL of EtOAc was added and the solution was washed with 5 mL of cold water and 5 mL of brine. After drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ the solvents were evaporated off to give a white solid residue of the epoxide 34. It was recrystallized from EtOAc-MeOH-hexane to afford 42 mg of the pure epoxide 34: mp 151.5-153 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(70.1 \%\right.$ yield); NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) imino epoxide 34 $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.8-1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, hydrate of $34 \delta 8.10$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 6.75 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.38 (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.8-1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; IR (KBr) 3394, 3289, 2980, 2914, 2822, 1601, 1558, 1553, 1491, 1472, 1403, 1346, $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ adduct of 34, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 288.1474$, found 288.1464; hydrate of 34, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 274.1318$, found 274.1270; imino epoxide 34, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 256,1212, found 256.1243 .
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#### Abstract

The total synthesis of cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N - Me - $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ )-Ala- N - $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}$ ) (9), cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N -$\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- N -Me-Gly- N -Me-Gly) (10), and cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N - Me - $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- N - $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ -$\left.\left(p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{O}-\left(m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)(11)$ are detailed and constitute the parent 18 -membered (9, 10) and 26membered (11) monocyclic peptide skeletons of the exceptionally potent, naturally occurring, bicyclic hexapeptide antitumor antibiotics bouvardin (1), deoxybouvardin (2, RA-V), RA-I-RA-IV, RA-VI, and RA-VII. The preparation of cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N -Me- $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ )-Ala) (12), a conformationally constrained 12 -membered cyclic tetrapeptide constituting a monocyclic, skeletal substructure of the naturally occurring materials, is detailed. Macrocyclization studies revealed no apparent preference for 12 -membered vs 18 -membered vs 26 -membered ring closure and each represent a macrocyclization reaction which is facilitated with closure conducted at a N -terminus D -amino acid site (D-Ala).


Bouvardin (1, NSC 259968) and deoxybouvardin (2), bicyclic hexapeptides isolated initially from Bouvardia ternifolia (Rubiacea) and unambiguously identified by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis (bouvardin) and chemical correlation (deoxybouvardin), ${ }^{2}$ are the initial members of a class of selective, exceptionally potent antitumor antibiotics ${ }^{2-4}$ now including the additional, provisionally named, bicyclic hexapeptides RA-I-RA-VII. ${ }^{3-5}$

[^0]Bouvardin (1) and related agents inhibit protein synthesis ${ }^{6}$


|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | OH | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | bouvardin |
| 2 | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | deoxybouvardin, ${ }^{2}$ (RA-V) $)^{3,4}$ <br> 3 H |
| H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OH | H | $\mathrm{RA}-\mathrm{I}^{3}$ |  |  |
| 4 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | H | $\mathrm{RA}-\mathrm{II}^{3}$ |
| 5 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | OH | H | $\mathrm{RA}-\mathrm{III}^{3,4}$ |
| 6 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | OH | $\mathrm{RA}-\mathrm{IV}^{3,4}$ |
| 7 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | O-methyl deoxybouvardin, |
| 8 |  | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | H | | O-methyl bouvardin |
| :--- |

by binding to the eukaryotic 80 S ribosome and subsequently inhibit EF1-dependent binding of aminoacyltRNA and EF2-dependent translocation of peptidyl-

[^1]tRNA. ${ }^{7}$ Consequently, the bouvardin-defined eukaryotic binding site has proven distinct from the well-defined cycloheximide and cryptopleurine 80 S ribosomal binding sites currently established as effective binding sites for protein synthesis inhibition. ${ }^{7}$ The unusual 14 -membered para- and metacyclophane unit of the naturally occurring agents has been postulated to arise from the oxidative coupling of two adjacent L-tyrosine residues in cyclic hexapeptide precursors although the direct incorporation of naturally derived isodityrosine cannot be excluded. ${ }^{2,3,8}$ The isodityrosine-derived 14 -membered segment has been suggested to be responsible for attainment and/or maintenance of an active, normally inaccessible, conformation of the parent, cyclic hexapeptides. ${ }^{5}$ In support of this, the parent 18 -membered monocyclic hexapeptide 9 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- $N$-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $N$-Me-Tyr- $N$-Me-Tyr), $O$-seco-deoxybouvardin $]^{9 a}$ has been shown to lack the antitumor and cytotoxic properties of deoxybouvardin while substantial functional group modification of the $14-\mathrm{mem}$ bered para- and metacyclophane dipeptide segment of bouvardin and deoxybouvardin potentiate the biological properties of the naturally occurring agents. ${ }^{3,4}$

Herein, we provide full details of an effective, convergent preparation of Boc-d-Ala-Ala- N - $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (13a) constituting the tetrapeptide segment of bouvardin (1), deoxybouvardin (2), $O$-methylbouvardin (8), $O$ methyldeoxybouvardin (7, RA-VII), and RA-IV. The preparation of the 18 -membered ( 9,10 ) and 26 -membered (11) cyclic peptides 9 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- $N$-Me-Tyr-$\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- N -Me-Tyr- N -Me-Tyr)], 10 [cyclo-(D-Ala-$\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{N}$-Me- $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $N$-Me-Gly- $N$-Me-Gly)], and 11 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala-N $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{O}-\left(m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right)$ ] constituting the two, parent monocyclic substructures of the bicyclic hexapeptide antitumor antibiotics are detailed in efforts that establish a preferred site and method for macro-
(7) Zalacain, M.; Zaera, E.; Vazquez, D.; Jimenez, A. FEBS Lett. 1982, 148, 95. Zaera, E.; Santamaria, F.; Vazques, D.; Jimenez, A. Curr. Microbiol. 1983, 9, 259. Chitnis, M. P.; Joshi, S. S.; Gude, R. P.; Menon, R. S. Chemotherapy (Basel) 1982, 28, 209. Adwankar, M. K.; Chitnis, M. P. Tumori 1983, 69, 309. Chapekar, M. S.; Chitnis, M. P. Indian J. Exp. Biol. 1980, 18, 208.
(8) More recent efforts have established the natural occurrence of piperazinomycin, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ OF4949-I-OF4949-IV, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ isodityrosine, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{cc}}$ and K-13, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~d}}$ bearing the diaryl ether linked dityrosine (isodityrosine). These observations and the failure of synthetic efforts to effect diaryl ether formation of the monocyclic hexapeptide $\mathbf{g}^{9}$ suggest that diaryl ether coupling may precede cyclization ( 14 -membered dipeptide, 18 -/26-membered hexapeptide) and incorporation into the cyclic hexapeptide structure. (a) Piperazinomycin. Fermentation, isolation, characterization, biological properties: Tamai, S.; Kaneda, M.; Nakamura, S. J. Antibiot. 1982, 35, 1130. X-ray structure determination: Kaneda, M.; Tamai, S.; Nakamura, S.; Hirata, T.; Kushi, Y.; Suga, T. J. Antibiot. 1982, 35, 1137. Total synthesis: Nishiyama, S.; Nakamura, K.; Suzuki, Y.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4481. Synthetic studies: Jung, M. E.; Rohloff, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 4909. (b) OF4949-I-IV, aminopeptidase B inhibitors. Fermentation, isolation, and characterization: Sano, S.; Ikai, K.; Kuroda, H.; Nakamura, T.; Obayashi, A.; Ezure, Y.; Enomoto, H. J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 1674. Structure determination: Sano, S.; Ikai, K.; Katayama, K.; Takesako, K.; Nakamura, T.; Obayashi, A.; Ezure, Y.; Enomoto, H. J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 1685. Biosynthesis: Sano, S.; Ueno, M.; Katayama, K.; Nakamura, T.; Obayashi, A. J. Antibiot. 1986, 39, 1697. (c) Isodityrosine: Fry, S. C. Biochem. J. 1982, 204, 449. Cooper, J. B.; Varner, J. E. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1983, 112, 161. (d) K-13 angiotensin I converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor. Fermentation, isolation, and biological properties: Kase, H.; Kaneko, M.; Yamada, K. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 450. Structure determination: Yasuzawa, T.; Shirahata, K.; Sano, H. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 455.
(9) Synthetic studies on bouvardin (1), deoxybouvardin (2), and RA-I-RA-VII. (a) Bates, R. B.; Gin, S. L.; Hassen, M. A.; Hruby, V. J.; Janda, K. D.; Kriek, G. R.; Michaud, J.-P.; Vine, D. B. Heterocycles 1985, 22, 785. (O-seco-deoxybouvardin) (b) Indirect approaches to deoxybouvardin diaryl ether formation: Inoue, T.; Naitoh, K.; Kosemura, S.; Umezawa, I.; Sonobe, T.; Serizawa, N.; Mori, N.; Itokawa, H. Heterocycles 1983, 20, 397. Bates, R. B.; Janda, K. D. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 4374. (c) Deoxybouvardin and RA-VII: Inaba, T.; Umezawa, I.; Yuasa, M.; Inoue, T.; Mihashi, S.; Itokawa, H.; Ogura, K. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2957.
cyclization suited for implementation in the total synthesis of the naturally occurring materials. ${ }^{9 a, c}$ Comparative in vitro cytotoxic evaluation of the agents are described in efforts to establish the structural and conformational features of the bicyclic hexapeptides responsible for the potent, selected antitumor activity. The additional preparation of 12 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N - $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala)], a conformationally constrained 12 -membered cyclic tetrapeptide constituting a monocyclic, skeletal substructure of the naturally occurring materials is described.


Preparation of Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ -Ala- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (13a). Linear Tetrapeptide Segment of Bouvardin (1), Deoxybouvardin (2, RA-V), OMethylbouvardin (8), O-Methyldeoxybouvardin (7, RA-VII), and RA-IV. In efforts complementary to those detailed by Bates and co-workers ${ }^{9 a}$ in which a linear, so-lution-phase synthetic approach to the preparation of Boc-d-Ala-Ala- $N$-Me- $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(13 \mathrm{a})$ has been detailed, we have devised and implemented a convergent approach to the preparation of 13 , Scheme I.
Exhaustive methylation of N -Boc-L-tyrosine employing carefully controlled reaction conditions ( 3.3 equiv of NaH , 2.2 equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) comparable to N methylation conditions detailed by Coggins and Benoiton ${ }^{10}$ provided L- N -Boc- N -methyl- O -methyltyrosine ( $14,90 \%$ ) with minimal, competitive racemization. ${ }^{11}$ Dicyclo-hexylcarbodiimide-promoted coupling of 14 with L-alanine methyl ester (15), deprotection (TFA, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$ ) of the dipeptide 16 , and subsequent dicyclohexylcarbo-diimide-promoted coupling of $N$-Me- $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ - $\mathrm{Ala}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (17) with Boc-D-Ala-Ala (20), Scheme I, provided the linear tetrapeptide 13a [Boc-D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala$\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ] in a sequence that has proven amenable to a multigram-scale preparation of 13 . Competitive diketopiperazine formation, a common side reaction in the preparation of $N$-methyl amides, ${ }^{12-14}$ was not observed in

[^2]
${ }^{a}$ (a) 10 equiv of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.0 \mathrm{M}), 1.1$ equiv of ( $\left.t-\mathrm{BuOCO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (b) 3.3 equiv of $\mathrm{NaH}, 2.2$ equiv of CH I , THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$; (c) 1.0 equiv of $15,1.1$ equiv of $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; (d) TFA, $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (e) 1.0 equiv of $15,1.0$ equiv of DCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (f) 3.0 equiv of $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1), 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ for 20 ; $82 \%$ for 13 b ; (g) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$.

the coupling of 20 [Boc-D-Ala-Ala] with 17 [ $N$-Me-Tyr-$\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ].
Preparation of cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr( $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ )-Ala-N-Me-Gly-N-Me-Gly). 18-Membered Cyclic Hexapeptide Cyclization Studies. At the onset of the efforts on the total synthesis of bouvardin (1), deoxybouvardin (2), and structurally related naturally occurring and synthetic mono- and bicyclic hexapeptides several sites were available as apparent locations for macrocyclization and cyclic peptide formation. Recent, empirical observations have shown that subtle structural features may facilitate or decelerate cyclic peptide formation. ${ }^{15}$ The well-documented rate deceleration of peptide bond formation accompanying amino substitution (e.g. $N$-methyl amide formation) ${ }^{12-14}$ discourage attempts

[^3]to promote macrocyclization and cyclic peptide formation at three of the six available bouvardin/deoxybouvardin peptide-bond sites. In addition, the empirically derived demonstration of the acceleration that accompanies macrocyclization and cyclic peptide formation of selected peptides bearing a D-amino acid at the amine terminus ${ }^{16,17}$ suggested that macrocyclization and cyclic peptide formation may best be conducted at the $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Ala}^{1} /$ modified L-Tyr ${ }^{6}$ site. However, it was not evident whether this macrocyclization may be best conducted with efforts to form the 18 -membered cyclic hexapeptide by employing intermediates bearing the intact 14 -membered para- and

[^4]

${ }^{a}$ (a) 1.0 equiv of ( $t$-BuOCO) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (b) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaH}, 3.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, THF/DMF ( $10: 1$ ), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$; (c) 3.0 equiv of $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$; (d) TFA, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$; (e) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{DCC}^{\mathrm{C}}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{l}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$; (f) TFA $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 69 \%$; (g) 1.0 equiv of $13 \mathrm{~b}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$; (h) 3.0 equiv of LiOH , THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$; (i) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (j) 1.3 equiv of DPPA, DMF, $0.008 \mathrm{M} 28, \mathrm{pH} 7\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right),-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$; (k) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$ from 26 ; (l) 30 (DMF) addition ( $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to pyridine, $0.0003 \mathrm{M}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 51 \%$ overall from 29.
metacyclophane dipeptide (eq 1, path a) or conducted with penultimate macrocyclization with closure to a $26-\mathrm{mem}-$ bered cyclic peptide followed by final closure of the peptide bond constituting formation of the 14 -membered para- and metacyclophane segment (eq 1, path b). In order to examine the feasibility and facility of the 18 -membered cyclic hexapeptide cyclization reaction (eq 1 , path a), the readily accessible, simplified linear hexapeptide 26 was prepared.

Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide-promoted coupling of the tetrapeptide 13 b with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Gly}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Gly}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(25)$, prepared as detailed in Scheme II, provided the linear hexapeptide 26. The potential, competitive intramolecular reactions, diketopiperazine formation, normally observed upon peptide $N$-methyl amide formation are not accessible to the linear tetrapeptide 13 b as a consequence of the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{N}$-methylation.

The linear hexapeptide 26 was subjected to two sets of cyclization procedures employing experimental conditions previously detailed as suitable, optimal approaches to cyclic peptide formation with closure conducted at a N terminus D-amino acid site. ${ }^{16,17}$ Consistent with expectations, closure of the linear hexapeptide as its free amino acid 28 in a reaction effected by diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA, diphenylphosphoroazidate) and conducted at near normal solution-phase concentrations ( 0.008 M substrate) ${ }^{17}$ provided the cyclic 18 -membered hexapeptide 10; Scheme II, Table I. Alternatively, formation of the pentafluorophenyl ester 29 of the linear hexapeptide and subsequent cyclization of the liberated (TFA, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) free amine of the linear hexapeptide active ester 30 employing solu-

Table I. Macrocyclization Studies

| substrate $^{a}$ | method $^{b, c}$ | cyclic peptide | \% yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | A $^{b}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $64(9)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{\mathbf{c}}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $51(17)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | A | $\mathbf{9}$ | $56(20)$ |
| $\mathbf{4 2}$ | B | $\mathbf{9}$ | $48(24)$ |
| $\mathbf{5 3}$ | A | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $61(3)$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | B | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $49(14)$ |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | A | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $68(6)$ |
| $\mathbf{5 8}$ | B | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $51(9)$ |

${ }^{a}$ The trifluoroacetic acid sait of all substrates were employed. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~A}=1.3$ equiv of DPPA, DMF, 0.008 M in substrate, pH 7 (NaH$\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{B}=$ substrate in DMF added ( $3-8 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to pyridine, $0.0003 \mathrm{M}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{d}$ All yields (overall for two steps from tert-butylcarbamate) are based on chromatographically homogeneous material isolated by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$. The yields in parentheses represents recovered, starting substrate.
tion-phase, high dilution techniques ${ }^{16,18}$ provided the 18 membered cyclic hexapeptide 10, Scheme II and Table I, identical in all respects with the material prepared in the diphenylphosphoryl azide promoted closure.

Preparation of $O$-seco-Deoxybouvardin [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathbf{O C H}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $N$-Me-Tyr-N-MeTyr)]. 18-Membered Cyclic Hexapeptide Cyclization Studies. The facility with which the macrocyclization reaction employed in the preparation of the 18 -membered

[^5] ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The final concentration of substrate in pyridine was $\leq 0.0003 \mathrm{M}$.

## Scheme III ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{MeOH}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (b) 1.0 equiv of ( $\left.t-\mathrm{BuOCO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; (c) 1.2 equiv of TBDMSCl, 2.5 equiv of imidazole, DMF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (d) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaH}, 3.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, THF/DMF ( $10: 1$ ), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (e) AcOH/THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:2:1), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (f) TFA $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ for $34 ; 68 \%$ for 37 ; (g) 3.0 equiv of $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, $59 \%$ for $35 ; 81 \%$ for 39 ; (h) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$; (i) 1.0 equiv of $13 \mathrm{~b}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; (j) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (k) 1.3 equiv of DPPA, DMF, 0.008 M in $40, \mathrm{pH} 7\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$ overall from 39 ; (l) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; (m) 42 (DMF) addition ( $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to pyridine, $0.0003 \mathrm{M}, 90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \%$ overall from 41.
cyclic hexapeptide 10 proceeded and the results of an alternative, comparative cyclic hexapeptide closure reported by Bates and co-workers ${ }^{9 a}$ in the preparation of $O$-seco-deoxybouvardin, eq 2, raised the concern that the

1) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$


closure observed in the formation of 10 may not be applicable to the anticipated work with bouvardin and deoxybouvardin. Consequently, we elected to examine the 18 -membered cyclic hexapeptide closure conducted at the $N$-terminus D-amino acid site ( $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Ala}^{1} / \mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Tyr}^{6}$ ) in the formation of $O$-seco-deoxybouvardin (9) for direct comparison.
The preparation of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}$-Boc- N -Me-Tyr- N -Me-Tyr$\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(36)$ is detailed in Scheme III and complements the efforts of Bates and co-workers. ${ }^{\text {aa }} \mathrm{N}$-Methylation of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}$ -Boc-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyltyrosine methyl ester following a modified and improved Coggins-Benoiton procedure ${ }^{10,19}$ and subsequent $O$-desilylation provided L- $N$ -Boc- $N$-methyltyrosine methyl ester (33). 1-[3-(Di-methylamino)propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide (EDCI) promoted coupling of $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{N}$-methyltyrosine methyl ester (34) with L- $N$-Boc- $N$-methyltyrosine (35), both derived from 33, provided 36, Scheme III.
Coupling of the tetrapeptide 13 b with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}-\mathrm{N}$ -$\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}(37)$ provided the linear hexapeptide 38. As previously observed, competitive diketopiperazine formation was not detected and may be attributed to the
(19) A ratio of 98.5:1.5 L:D-33 was determined by chiral-phase HPLC analysis.

Scheme IV ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) 1.0 equiv of ( $t$ - BuOCO$)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (b) 1.2 equiv of TBDMSCl, 2.5 equiv of imidazole, $\mathrm{DMF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (c) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaH}, 3.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, THF/DMF ( $10: 1$ ), $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 2: 1), 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (e) 1.1 equiv of NaH , 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{CuBr}, 2.0$ equiv of 47 , pyridine, $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 44 \%$; (f) 0.1 wt equiv of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (g) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (h) 1.0 equiv of $13 \mathrm{~b}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; (i) 3.0 equiv of $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF} /$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1), 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (j) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (k) 1.3 equiv of DPPA, DMF, 0.008 M in $53, \mathrm{pH} 7(\mathrm{NaHCO}), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $72 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$ overall from 52 ; (l) 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, 1.0$ equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; (m) 55 (DMF) addition (2-3 h) to pyridine, $0.0003 \mathrm{M}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 49 \%$ overall from 54.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{N}$-methylation. The linear hexapeptide 38 was subjected to the two sets of cyclization conditions employed in the preparation of cyclic hexapeptide 10. Consistent with expectations, closure of the linear hexapeptide as its free amino acid 40 in a reaction effected by DPPA employing the improved $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ conditions for closure at near normal solution-phase concentrations ( 0.008 M substrate) provided the cyclic 18 -membered hexapeptide 9, Scheme III and Table I. In addition, formation of the pentafluorophenyl ester 41 and subsequent cyclization of the liberated (TFA, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 0.5 h ) free amine of the linear hexapeptide active ester 42 employing conventional solution-phase, high dilution ${ }^{16,18}$ reaction conditions provided $O$-seco-deoxybouvardin (9) identical in all respects with the samples of 9 prepared by the DPPA-promoted cyclization and identical in comparable respects with authentic, synthetic material. ${ }^{20}$
Preparation of cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr-
 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ). 26-Membered Cyclic Peptide Cyclization Studies. In order to test the feasibility and facility for 26 -membered cyclic peptide formation (eq 1, path b), the readily accessible, linear peptide 51 was examined. The

[^6]preparation of $50,\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{O}-(p-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ ], the simplified diaryl ether required as the coupling component necessary to examine cyclization of 51 with 26 -membered cyclic peptide formation is detailed in Scheme IV. $N$-Methylation of $N$ -Boc-O-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)tyramine (44) employing a modified Coggins-Benoiton procedure ${ }^{10}$ and subsequent $O$-desilylation provided $N$-Boc- $N$-methyltyramine (46). Copper(I)-promoted coupling of 46 with methyl $m$-iodocinnamate (47) under conditions optimized for diaryl ether formation ${ }^{21}$ provided the diaryl ether 48 . Subjection of 48 to the conditions of catalytic hydrogenation provided the required diaryl ether 49 . Removal of the tert-butyloxycarbonyl protecting group (TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 1,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{h}, 94 \%$ ) provided the diaryl ether free N -methyl amine 50 .
Coupling of the tetrapeptide 13 b with the $N$-methyl amine 50 provided the linear peptide 51. The linear peptide 51 was subjected to the two sets of cyclization conditions employed in the preparation of the cyclic peptides 9 and 10. Consistent with expectations, DPPApromoted closure of the linear peptide as its free amino acid 53 employing the improved $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ conditions for closure effected at near normal solution-phase concentrations ( 0.008 M substrate) provided the cyclic 26 -
(21) Whitesides, G. M.; Sadowski, J. S.; Lilburn, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 2829.

${ }^{a}$ (a) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; (b) 1.3 equiv of DPPA, DMF, 0.008 M in $56, \mathrm{pH} 7\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right), 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$; (c) 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, 1.0 equiv of $\mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) 58 (DMF) addition ( 8 h ) to pyridine, $0.0003 \mathrm{M}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 51 \%$ overall from 57 .
membered peptide (11), Scheme IV and Table I. In addition, formation of the pentafluorophenyl ester 54 and subsequent cyclization of the liberated (TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ ) free amine of the linear hexapeptide active ester 55 employing conventional, solution-phase, high dilution reaction conditions ${ }^{16,18}$ provided the cyclic peptide 11 identical in all respects with the sample of 11 prepared in the diphenylphosphoroazidate-promoted cyclization.
Preparation of cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-Tyr( $\mathbf{O C H}_{3}$ )-Ala). 12-Membered Cyclic Tetrapeptide Cyclization. The linear tetrapeptide 13 was subjected to the two sets of cyclization conditions employed in the preparation of the cyclic peptides $9-11$. Diphenylphosphoroazidate-promoted closure of the linear tetrapeptide as its free amino acid 56 employing the improved $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)^{17 \mathrm{~b}}$ conditions for closure effected at near normal solution-phase concentrations ( 0.008 M substrate) provided the cyclic 12 -membered tetrapeptide 12, Scheme V and Table I. Alternatively, formation of the pentafluorophenyl ester 57 and subsequent cyclization of the liberated (TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.2$ h) free amine of the linear tetrapeptide active ester 58 under high dilution, solution-phase reaction conditions ${ }^{16,18}$ provided the cyclic tetrapeptide 12 identical in all respects with the sample of 12 prepared by the diphenyl-phosphoroazidate-promoted cyclization.
In Vitro Cytotoxic Activity. The cyclic peptides 9-12 were subjected to comparative evaluation for in vitro cytotoxic activity ${ }^{22}$ by employing four cell culture assays: B16 (mouse melanoma), ${ }^{23,24} \mathrm{~L}-1210$ (mouse lymphocytic leu-

[^7]Table II. In Vitro Cytotoxic Activity ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}\right)^{22}$

| 9PS(P388) ${ }^{25}$ |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9 \mathrm{~KB}^{25}$ | $\mathrm{~L}-1210^{23}$ | $\mathrm{~B}^{25} 6^{23,24}$ |  |
| 9 | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| 10 | $>100$ | $>100$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| 11 | 5 | 47 | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| 12 | 13 | 41 | $>20$ | $>20$ |

kemia), ${ }^{23} 9 \mathrm{PS}$ (P388 mouse leukemia), ${ }^{25}$ and 9 KB (human epidermoid carcinoma of the nasopharynx) ${ }^{25}$ The results, inhibitory concentration for $50 \%$ cell growth relative to untreated controls ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), are detailed in Table I. ${ }^{22}$ Consistent with the observations reported by Bates and co-workers ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}$-seco-deoxybouvardin (9) lacked detectable, observable cytotoxic activity, confirming the apparent requirement for the bouvardin/deoxybouvardin cyclic 14 -membered dipeptide diaryl ether linkage. Consistent with this observation, the cyclic hexapeptide 10 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N - $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ )-Ala- N -Me-Gly- N -Me-Gly)] lacking the 14 -membered para- and metacyclophane segment of deoxybouvardin lacked observable cytotoxic activity. In contrast, the 26 -membered cyclic peptide 11 [cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- N - Me - $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ )-Ala- $\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{O}-\left(m-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ possessing the intact monocyclic skeleton of bouvardin/deoxybouvardin as well as the 12 -membered cyclic peptide 12 possessing only the D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala segment of bouvardin/deoxybouvardin exhibited observable, albeit marginal, cytotoxic activity. The comparative cytotoxic properties of 11 and 12 , the inactivity of 9 and 10 , coupled with reports of the successful substantial functional group modifications of the 14 -membered cyclophane dipeptide segment of the naturally occurring materials with full maintenance of the cytotoxic/antitumor properties suggest that the bouvardin/deoxybouvardin 14-membered cyclic dipeptide unit potentiates the cytotoxic and antitumor properties of the D-Ala-Ala- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala segment of the naturally occurring bicyclic hexapeptides. Such observations are consistent with the potential that the

[^8]isodityrosine-derived 14 -membered para- and metacyclophane provides maintenance of an active, otherwise inaccessible conformation of this segment of the naturally occurring antitumor antibiotics.

## Experimental Section

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) were recorded on Varian FT80, Varian XL-200, General Electric QE300, and Nicolet NT-470 spectrophotometers and chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to internal tetramethylsilane ( 0.00 ppm ). Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1420 spectrometer and a Perkin-Elmer 1710 Fourier transform spectrometer. Melting points ( mp ) were determined on a Thomas Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Electron impact mass spectra (EIMS) and chemical ionization mass spectra (CIMS) were recorded on a Finnigan 4000 spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) and fast atom bombardment mass spectra (FABMS) were recorded on a Kratos MS-50 spectrometer. Flash chromatography ${ }^{26 a}$ was performed on $230-400-\mathrm{mesh}$ silica gel. Preparative centrifugal thin-layer chromatography (PCTLC) ${ }^{286}$ was performed on a Harrison Model 7924 Chromatotron, using Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{PF}_{154}$ containing $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ binder. Chiral-phase HPLC analysis was performed on a Gilson Model 320 dual pump chromatograph equipped with an ISCO $\mathrm{V}^{4}$ variable wavelength absorbance detector ( 254 nm ) employing a J. T. Baker Baker Bond DNBPG (covalent) chiral column. Reverse-phase HPLC analysis was performed on the same system employing a Whatman Partisil PXS 10/25 ODS-2 reverse-phase column. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Methanol (MeOH) was distilled from magnesium methoxide. Methylene chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide. Pyridine was distilled from barium oxide. Dimethylformamide (DMF) and triethylamine $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ were distilled from calcium hydride and stored over KOH pellets. All extraction and chromatographic solvents [ethyl acetate (EtOAc), hexane, and methylene chloride $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)\right]$ were distilled prior to use. Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate [ $(\mathrm{BOC})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ], diphenylphosphoroazidate (DPPA), 1-[3-(dimethylamino) propyl]-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), pentafluorophenol, glycine methyl ester hydrochloride, tyramine, L-tyrosine, L-alanine, and D-alanine hydrochloride were obtained from the Aldrich Chemical Company. 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBT) was obtained from the Pierce Chemical Company. All reactions requiring anhydrous conditions were performed under an atmosphere of nitrogen ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) or argon.
Boc- $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}(\mathrm{OMe})-\mathrm{OH}$ (14). A solution of Boc-Tyr-OH $(5.30 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl iodide ( $2.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv) in 80 mL of THF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hydride ( $50 \%$ oil dispersion, $2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 62.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$ and then at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(16 \mathrm{~h})$. The excess sodium hydride was quenched by the dropwise addition of 10 mL of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) and the solvents were removed in vacuo. The residue was diluted with 30 mL of water and washed with pentane $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase made acidic with solid citric acid ( pH 2 ) and was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ afforded $\mathrm{Boc}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Tyr}(\mathrm{OMe})^{9 \mathrm{aq}}(14,5.34 \mathrm{~g}, 5.84 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $90 \%$ ) as a yellow oil. 14: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-16.9^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, ppm) 7.18 and 7.12 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H})$, 4.58 (two t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ) , 3.24 and 3.13 (two dd, 1 H each, $J=15,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CHHCHN}$ and CHHCHN ), 2.76 and 2.68 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.43 and 1.38 (two $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 2976,2934,1741,1698,1613$, $1585,1514,1456,1393,1368,1330,1301,1249,1177,1110,1074$, 1036, 963, 863, 818, $765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $310\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 2\right), 254$ (54), 210 (base); HRMS, $m / e$ $309.1572\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 309.1576 ). Chiral-phase HPLC analysis revealed a 99:1 ratio of L:D-14: $t_{\mathrm{R}} 28 \mathrm{~min} / 32 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10 \%$ 2-propanol-hexane.

Boc- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-OMe (16). A steady stream of ammonia gas was passed through a suspension of the hydrochloride salt of alanine methyl ester ( $15,4.86 \mathrm{~g}, 34.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 60 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-5 \mathrm{~min}$. The precipitated ammonium chloride was collected by filtration and the filtrate was added to a solution of 14 ( $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 34.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), DCC ( 7.18 $\mathrm{g}, 34.9 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv), and HOBT ( $533 \mathrm{mg}, 3.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded $16\left(10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 14.3 \mathrm{~g}\right.$ theoretical yield, $76 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $-17.4^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.15$ and 7.09 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C2-H and C6-H), 6.84 (d, 2 H , $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ and Ala ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.80 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}{ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.40 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }}$ $3855,3753,3714,3678,3631,3355,2932,2855,1737,1701,1612$, $1584,1514,1452,1390,1367,1301,1248,1152,1108,1036,803$, $772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), m / e$ (relative intensity), $395\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right.$, 10), 263 (98), 164 (base); CIHRMS, $m / e 395.4575\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ requires 395.4360 ). Reverse-phase HPLC analysis: $>98 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 21$ $\min , 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-16 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.6 \% / \mathrm{min}$ ).
$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}$-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-OMe (17). A solution of 16 (7.70 $\mathrm{g}, 18.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 mL of trifluoroacetic acid was stirred for 30 $\min \left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solution was poured onto 200 mL of 0.10 N HCl . The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The aqueous layer was made basic ( pH 10 ) with the addition of solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 7 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 0-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient elution) afforded $17(4.78 \mathrm{~g}, 5.82 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $82 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which solidified upon standing: mp $99-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (methanol, fine white needles); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{D}-24.6^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.87 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 5.74 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ala NH), $4.20\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ala $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.92(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr}^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.82 and 3.75 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.79 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.32 and 3.14 (two dd, 1 H each, $J=16,4$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.09\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 0.58(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3490,3278,2936,2270,1742,1679,1618,1511$, $1475,1449,1402,1333,1300,1244,1182,1163,1112,1053,1025$, 887, 837, $818,790,758,735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $295\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 9\right), 263$ (base); CIHRMS, $m / e 295.1650$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ requires 295.1658).

Boc-D-Ala-Ala-OMe (19): : ${ }^{77}[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-12.4^{\circ}(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 6.69$ (br d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala} \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.94 (br d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, D-Ala NH), 4.57 (apparent p, $1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{D}$-Ala ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.19 (apparent $\mathrm{p}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t\right.$ - Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.40(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.35 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3855,3321,2980,1742,1690,1670,1518,1454,1367,1292$, $1248,1214,1165,1100,1056,1022,984,952,861,759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right), m / e$ (relative intensity) $275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 8\right), 168$ (base); CIHRMS, $m / e 275.1599\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ requires 275.1607). Re-verse-phase HPLC: $98.6 \%$, $t_{\mathrm{R}} 20 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-16 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$.

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-OH (20). Lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 60.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 19 ( 5.80 $\mathrm{g}, 20.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for $3 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 20 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc (20 mL ). The aqueous phase was poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(50$ mL ) and was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, $5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 60 \%$ EtOAc-hexane eluant) afforded $20(4.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.57$ g theoretical yield, $78 \%$ ) as a colorless viscous oil which solidified on standing: mp $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-hexane, colorless cubes); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-19.7^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$
(26) (a) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923. (b) Stahl, E.; Mueller, J. Chromatographia 1982, 15, 493.
(27) Ariyoshi, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1984, 57, 3197.
7.01 (br s, 1 H, Ala NH), 5.18 (br s, 1 H, d-Ala NH), 4.60 (m, 1 H , D-Ala ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 4.44 (m, 1 H , Ala ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $1.48(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.47 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.40(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, D-Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$; IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3855,3840,3678,3344,3049,2977,2934,2517$, $2025,1680,1535,1456,1389,1368,1336,1310,1253,1226,1167$, 1120, 1073, 1040, 1022, 957, 931, 864, 837, 786, $753 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 261 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 9$ ), 205 (base), 161 (11); CIHRMS, $m / e 261.1439\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ requires 261.1450).

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-OMe (13a). A solution of $20(4.64 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{DCC}(3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in 90 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $17(4.78 \mathrm{~g}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) and HOBT ( $236 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 36 $\mathrm{h}\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 80-100 \%$ EtOAc-hexane gradient elution) afforded $13 \mathrm{a}(6.25 \mathrm{~g}, 8.73 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $71 \%$ ) as a clear, crystalline solid: ${ }^{\text {aac, }} \mathrm{mp} 142-143^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, colorless cubes); $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $-44.2^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 8.28$ (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.16 and 7.10 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.87 and 6.85 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and C6-H), 6.60 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 5.09 (two d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, t-\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.80\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $4.34\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ${ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.80 and 3.76 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.78 (s, 3 H , Tyr$\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $3.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}{ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 2.95 and 2.88 (two s, 3 H , $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.45 and 1.43 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.37 and 1.35 (two $\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.29 and 1.27 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ) $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3855$, $3290,3064,2980,2935,1746,1714,1654,1514,1454,1411,1367$, $1302,1249,1174,1089,1068,1033,858,825,806,783,738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 537 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 4$ ), 434 (base), 378 (13). Reverse-phase HPLC: $>98 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 17 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-10 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$.

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-OH (13b). Lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 13 a ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 3 mL of THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for $3 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction solution was poured onto water ( 3 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( 1 mL ). The aqueous phase was poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined aqueous acid extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}\right.$, $2-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient elution) afforded 13 b ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 363$ mg theoretical yield, $82 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH, white plates); $[\alpha]^{22}$ D $-42.2^{\circ}$ ( $c$ 1.0, MeOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and C6-H), 6.86 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and C5-H), 4.55 (t, 1 $\mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{a} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), $3.28\left(\mathrm{brd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 2.96 and 2.92 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.09 (d, 3 H , Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.42 (br s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ala}^{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $0.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ala ${ }^{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3855,3296,2980,2936,1718,1654,1514$, $1457,1393,1368,1301,1249,1176,1104,1034,825,738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $523\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 3\right), 479$ (4), 434 (23), 323 (29), 316 (base).

Boc- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Gly- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Gly-OMe (24). A solution of $22^{28}$ (772 $\mathrm{mg}, 4.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $1-2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with DCC ( $841 \mathrm{mg}, 4.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $23 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(892 \mathrm{mg}$, $4.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(48 \mathrm{~h})$, filtered through Celite $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 25 \% \mathrm{Et}-\right.$ OAc-hexane eluant) afforded $24^{28}(762 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $68 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, ppm) 3.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.90\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $3.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.92 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.47 and 1.42 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 2979,2935,1756,1702,1666,1559,1484,1455$, $1395,1369,1302,1250,1154,1062,973,873,777,633 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Re-verse-phase HPLC: $97.2 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 11 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-8 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$.

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-N-Me-Gly-N-Me-GlyOMe (26). A solution of $25(61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ prepared from 24 (TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 1,25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added

[^9]to a solution of 13 b ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), DCC ( 76 mg , $0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), and HOBT ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv) in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 1-5 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient elution) afforded $26(150 \mathrm{mg}, 246 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $64 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-28.7^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 7.16 and 7.10 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.88 and 6.84 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.77 and 3.73 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 3.80 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.19 and 3.16 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 3.16 and 3.12 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.98 and 2.92 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.45 and 1.44 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.47 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3753,3678$, 3652, 3631, 3302, 2979, 2934, 2281, 1752, 1712, 1648, 1514, 1451, 1411, 1367, 1301, 1249, 1214, 1177, 1107, 1033, 858, $824 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 608 (1), 508 (3), 434 (6), 334 (19), 204 (31), 161 (34), 121 (25), 104 (27), 44 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 608 (39), 508 (93), 434 (85), 334 (23), 290 (18), 225 (base). Reverse-phase HPLC: $>99 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 24 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0-16 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.5 \% / \mathrm{min}$ ).

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-Me-Gly-N-Me-Gly-OH (27). A solution of 26 ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of THF/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv), and the reaction mixture was stirred for $3 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was poured onto 2 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 2-5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded $27(90 \mathrm{mg}, 104 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $86 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 187-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $-21.7^{\circ}$ ( $c 0.9, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3854,3839,3802,3745,3690$, $3676,3650,3630,3301,2979,2934,1717,1637,1559,1541,1514$, 1457, 1418, 1367, 1248, 1176, 1104, $1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 434 (1), 387 (1), 320 (1), 315 (1), 204 (2); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 650 (1), 629 (1), 612 (1), 594 (1), 566 (1), 550 (2), 449 (8), 388 (45), 342 (39), 225 (base).
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Gly- $\boldsymbol{N}$ -Me-Gly) (10): Method A. A solution of 27 ( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 28 as a hygroscopic, crystalline solid. For $28 . \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ : $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-29.0^{\circ}(c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$, 7.06 (br d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C2-H and Tyr C6-H), 6.86 and 6.78 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and Tyr C5-H), 3.70 and 3.78 (two s, 3 H , Tyr $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.20 and 3.18 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 3.02 and 2.98 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.90 and 2.88 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.40-1.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}\right.$, three $\left.\mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3350,2934,1734$, $1684,1653,1636,1559,1541,1516,1458,1419,1252,1180,1037$, $799,723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of the trifluoroacetic acid salt of $28(112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol ) in 12 mL of DMF was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pH was adjusted to 7.2 with the addition of triethylamine (estimated by spotting moistened narrow range pHydrion indicator paper). Diphenylphosphoroazidate (diphenylphosphoryl azide, DPPA, $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(48 \mathrm{~h})$ and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(48 \mathrm{~h})$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( 6 mL ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ gradient solution) afforded 10 ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $64 \%$ ) as a tan solid: mp $175-177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( MeOH , yellow needles); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-41.2^{\circ}$ (c $0.8, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right), 7.16$ and 7.08 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C2-H and Tyr C6-H), 6.84 (d, 2 H , $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and Tyr C5-H), 3.80 and 3.79 (two s, 3 H , $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.06 and $3.00\left(\right.$ two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.98 and 2.92 (two $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.90 and 2.84 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.40-1.20$ (m, 9 H , three Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3302,3059,2982,2935,2362$, $2341,1653,1584,1514,1449,1410,1374,1302,1248,1180,1104$, $1035,956,823,733 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 476 (56), 163 (base), 134 (15), 121 (75); FABMS (DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : glycerol:thioglycerol, 5:5:1:1), m/e $567\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{H}\right), 545\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ -H). Reverse-phase HPLC: $97 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 20 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-10 \%$
methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.5 \% / \mathrm{min}$ ).
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr( $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ )-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Gly- $\boldsymbol{N}$ -Me-Gly) (10): Method B. A solution of 27 ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated sequentially with EDCI ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and pentafluorophenol ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.147 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for $24 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded 29 ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 122 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $65 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-36.4^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.12$ and 7.08 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr}$ $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr C3-H and C5-H), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right), 3.28\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, two $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.44 and 1.42 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.38 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 7 Hz , Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max }$ $3313,2932,2854,1793,1717,1701,1684,1653,1648,1559,1541$, $1522,1458,1419,1367,1249,1172,1101,1027,1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of $29(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Removal of the volatiles in vacuo afforded the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 30 which was used directly in the following reaction. For $30 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}:[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-32.6^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Tyr} \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and C6-H), 6.86 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Tyr $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.80$ and 3.78 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ).

A solution of $30 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of dry DMF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise over 4 h (using a motor driven syringe pump) to a warm $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of pyridine ( 243 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional $4 \mathrm{~h}\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in 3 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with water ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ gradient elution) afforded 10 ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $51 \%$ ) as a tan solid identical in all respects with that described above.

Boc- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (33). A solution of $N$-tert-butoxy-carbonyl-L-tyrosine methyl ester ${ }^{29}$ ( $6.70 \mathrm{~g}, 23.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of DMF was added to a solution of tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $4.08 \mathrm{~g}, 27.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and imidazole ( $3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 49.9 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 equiv) in 30 mL of DMF at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{30}$ The resulting solution was stirred for $6 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was diluted with 150 mL of EtOAc and the solution was washed with water ( $2 \times$ 150 mL ), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo to afford 31 ( $8.64 \mathrm{~g}, 9.28 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $93 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which was used directly in the following reaction. For 31: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6.2^{\circ}(c$ $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 6.98$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H})$, 4.96 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 4.55$ (q, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHNH}$ ), $3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.04$ and 2.96 (two dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=16,8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, CHHCHNH and CHHCHNH ), $1.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.97$ (s, $\left.9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-t-\mathrm{BuCH}_{3}\right), 0.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3214,2430$, $1748,1701,1561,1472,1443,1392,1378,1313,1252,1160,970$, $873,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $410\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$ $+\mathrm{H}, 2$ ), 354 (base), 310 (99); CIHRMS, m/e 410.2316 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}\right.$ requires 410.2363 ).

Sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ oil dispersion, $960 \mathrm{mg}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) was carefully added to a solution of methyl iodide (4.48 $\mathrm{mL}, 72.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and $31(9.80 \mathrm{~g}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 100 mL of dry DMF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred 48 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 100$ mL ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$, EtOAc) afforded $32(8.83 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $87 \%$ ) as a clear, viscous oil: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.2^{\circ}$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 7.06 and 7.03 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.78 and 6.75 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.74 and 3.73 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 2.70 (two br d, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $1.45,1.44,1.40$ and 1.36 (four s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Si}-t-\mathrm{BuCH}_{3}\right), 0.18\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$. Chiral-phase HPLC: $98.5: 1.5$ L:D-32; $t_{\mathrm{R}} 12 \mathrm{~min} / 15 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10 \% 2$-propanol-hexane.

[^10]A solution of $32(8.80 \mathrm{~g}, 20.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 150 mL of $\mathrm{AcOH} /$ THF $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1:1) was stirred for 8 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was mixed with 75 mL of saturated aqueous NaCl . Solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was carefully added until the solution was basic ( pH 10 ) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \%\right.$ Et$\mathrm{OAc} /$ hexane eluant) afforded $33(5.25 \mathrm{~g}, 6.41 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $82 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid: $\mathrm{mp} 109-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-hexane, white plates); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-7.4^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}$ ) 7.03 and 6.98 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.75 and 3.70 (two s, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $2.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.59,1.42$ and 1.38 (three $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3355,2976,2931,1744,1671$, $1616,1596,1560,1517,1481,1439,1394,1368,1340,1225,1167$, 1104, 1028, $820,801,774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity), $309\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right), 253$ (51), 246 (16), 239 (16), 236 (base), 222 ( 61 ), 208 (84); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $310\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}+\right.$ H, 6), 254 (60), 240 (27), 210 (100), 196 (10); CIHRMS, m/e $310.1660\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 310.1654$)$. Chiral-phase HPLC: 98.5:1.5 L:D-33; $t_{\mathrm{R}} 18 \mathrm{~min} / 23 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 10 \% 2-$ propanol-hexane.

H-N-Me-Tyr-OMe (34). A mixture of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1; 5 mL ) was added to 33 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The volatiles were removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to afford 34 ( $264 \mathrm{mg}, 339 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $78 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 109-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, yellow needles, lit. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{mp}$ $109-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-9.2^{\circ}$ (c $1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300$ MHz, ppm $) 7.05$ (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.74 (d, 2 $\mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), $3.42(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHN}$ ), $2.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHN}\right), 2.37$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3630,3300,2924,2855,1727,1654$, $1613,1595,1560,1542,1516,1458,1369,1256,1220,1205,1172$, 1106, $1032,984,827 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Boc-N-Me-Tyr-OH (35). Lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $615 \mathrm{mg}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) was added to a solution of 33 ( 1.51 $\mathrm{g}, 4.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 13 mL of THF $/ \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for $1.2 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction solution was extracted with EtOAc $(1 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous phase was poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded 35 ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $95 \%$ ) as a white, amorphous solid: mp $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}$, white needles, lit. $\left.{ }^{9 \alpha} \mathrm{mp} 141-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-7.0^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}) 7.06$ and 7.02 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 2.74$ and 2.70 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.43 and 1.36 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3854,3330,2929,1718,1670,1616,1559,1541,1517,1475$, $1457,1395,1369,1229,1163,1104,1063,964,838,775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS $m / e$ (relative intensity) $295\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 239(4), 164$ (32), 107 (66), 57 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $296\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\right.$ $\mathrm{H}, 7$ ), 240 (base), 296 (89); HRMS, $m / e 295.1418\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 295.1420 ).

Boc- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr-OMe (36). A solution of 35 (675 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was treated with EDCI ( 679 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(5-10 \mathrm{~min})$. A solution of $34(478 \mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional $12 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), washed with water ( 3 $\times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded 36 ( $656 \mathrm{mg}, 1.11 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $59 \%$ ) as a white foam: mp $52-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-6.8^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ppm) broad absorptions at $7.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.75$ ( 4 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.78\left(1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.20\left(1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.96\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 2.80\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.42(9 \mathrm{H}, t-\mathrm{Boc}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3855,3823,3746,3677,3651,3346,2927,1741$, $1709,1670,1616,1596,1517,1448,1394,1368,1226,1169,830$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity), $487\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 387(2), 210$ (20), 178 (11), 164 (16), 150 (32), 116 (26), 107 (31), 102 (47), 57
(64), 41 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 487 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 1$ ), 401 (26), 387 (base), 373 (33), 355 (27), 341 (13); HRMS, $m / e 486.5732\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right.$ requires 486.5741). Re-verse-phase HPLC: $97.2 \%$, $t_{\mathrm{R}} 18 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-6 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.5 \% / \mathrm{min}$ ).

H-N-Me-Tyr-N-Me-Tyr-OMe (37). A mixture of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to $36(182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Short column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded 37 ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 144 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $68 \%$ ) as a colorless oil which was used directly in the following reaction.

Boc-D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-Me-Tyr-N-Me-Tyr-OMe (38). A solution of 13 b ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated sequentially with EDCI ( 76 mg , $0.259 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and $37(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for $20 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and was poured into water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried ( Mg $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded $38(134 \mathrm{mg}, 235 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $57 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-41.2^{\circ}$ (c $0.9, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.3-6.7(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), $3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, three $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.42 and 1.41 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3300$, $2979,2936,2837,1762,1720,1653,1514,1456,1411,1367,1301$, $1249,1203,1169,1134,1067,1034,952,895,856,823,734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Reverse-phase HPLC: $96.9 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 22 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-10 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.2 \% / \mathrm{min}$ ).

Boc-d-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-Me-Tyr-N-Me-Tyr-OH (39). A solution of 38 ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.228 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred for $1.2 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 1 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded 39 ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $91 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 182-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, white needles); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-22.0^{\circ}$ (c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 3.00 and 2.92 (two $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 1.46\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, t\right.$-Boc $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.28\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ala $\left.{ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-Me-Tyr-N-Me-Tyr) (9): Method A. A solution of 39 ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for 2 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 40 as an extremely hygroscopic crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction. For $40 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}:[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{D}$ $-22.6^{\circ}$ ( $c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3279,2937,2347,1654,1542$, $1515,1458,1341,1302,1250,1204,1036,955,823,800,724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of $40 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 9 mL of dry DMF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated sequentially with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.350 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and DPPA ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.3 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(72 \mathrm{~h})$ and then was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( 5 mL ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ gradient elution) afforded 9 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $56 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil which solidified on standing: mp 290-292 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (MeOH, light yellow needles, lit. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mp} 280-290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-32.7^{\circ}$ ( $\left.c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 470 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ broad absorptions at 7.1 and $6.8(\mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 3.8\left(\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right)$, 1.5-1.2 (Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3677,3651,3301,2929,2855$, $1638,1514,1457,1413,1376,1301,1249,1178,1102,1034,959$, $823,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 421 (1), 408 (1), 338 (1), 307 (1), 249 (5), 167 (14), 149 (80), 129 (11), 121 (98), 71 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity), 684 (7), 672 (19), 670 (base); FABMS (DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ :glycerol:thioglycerol, 5:5:1:1), $m / e$ $781\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right.$, weak). Reverse-phase HPLC: $88 \%$ (initial product
isolated by chromatography); $96 \%$ (product after recrystallization), $t_{\mathrm{R}} 25 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-10 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min}), 10-14 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution ( $0.6 \%$ / $\min$ ).
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-Me-Tyr-N-Me-Tyr) (9): Method B. A solution of $39(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated sequentially with pentafluorophenol ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.074 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and EDCI ( 20 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for $24 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and then was poured onto water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \%\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded 41 ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $78 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-25.4^{\circ}\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max }$ $3312,2980,2935,1717,1654,1515,1457,1412,1392,1368,1301$, $1249,1167,1102,1035,955,824,735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of $41(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2 mL of $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 42 as an extremely hygroscopic, crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction.

A solution of $42 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of dry DMF was added dropwise over $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ (using a motor driven syringe pump) to a warm $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of pyridine ( 173 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred an additional $4 \mathrm{~h}\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in 2 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with water $(3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 2-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient solution) afforded 9 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $48 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil which solidified on standing.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-tert-Butoxycarbonyl- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methyl-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)ethylamine (46). A solution of $N$-Boc-tyramine (43, 5.00 g, 21.1 mmol ) in 16 mL of dry DMF was added dropwise ( $2-3 \mathrm{~min}$ ) to a solution of imidazole ( $3.59 \mathrm{~g}, 52.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv) and tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $3.82 \mathrm{~g}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) in 10 mL of $\mathrm{DMF}^{30}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto water and was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined EtOAc extracts were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to afford $44(7.25 \mathrm{~g}, 7.41 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $98 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which was used without further purification. For 44: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 80 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.05$ (d, 2 $\mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.32(\mathrm{dd}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, J=6,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.70\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right), 1.47$ (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Si}-t-\mathrm{BuCH}_{3}\right), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ) IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3346,2932,1688,1613,1513,1452,1392$, 1367, 1252, 1168, 1051, $915,829,781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 351 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 295 (6), 234 (13), 177 (14), 120 (29), 57 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $351\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right)$, 296 (48), 182 (base); HRMS, $m / e 351.5690\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right.$ requires 351.5710).

A solution of $44(7.40 \mathrm{~g}, 21.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of THF/DMF (10:1) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was treated sequentially with methyl iodide ( $3.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 63.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) and sodium hydride ( $50 \%$ oil dispersion, $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 21.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.08$ equiv) and the reaction mixture was stirred for $10 \mathrm{~min}\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The reaction mixture was warmed at reflux ( $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature) under nitrogen for 23 h . The reaction mixture was poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous HCl $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to afford 45 as a yellow oil which was used directly in the following reaction.

Silyl ether $45(7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 60 mL of $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1:1) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The reaction mixture was made basic with the addition of solid $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( pH 10 ) and was extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}, 30 \%\right.$ Et-OAc-hexane eluant) afforded 46 ( $4.71 \mathrm{~g}, 5.29 \mathrm{~g}$ theoretical yield, $89 \%$ ) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.35\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right)$,
2.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.70 (t, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.41 ( s , $9 \mathrm{H}, t$ - $\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3330,3010,2965,2931,2863,1957$, $1712,1613,1594,1518,1480,1451,1395,1362,1261,1245,1222$, 1163, 1134, 1098, $1050,1031,1012,958,912,877,828,773 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $252\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 10\right), 196$ (base); HRMS, $m / e 251.1517\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right.$ requires 251.1521).

Methyl 3-[3-(4-(2-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethylamino)ethyl)phenoxy) phenyl]propenoate (48). A solution of 46 (260 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.02$ equiv) in 0.5 mL of pyridine was added dropwise to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ slurry of sodium hydride $(60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.02$ equiv) in 0.5 mL of pyridine under nitrogen. Cuprous bromide ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.02 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 0.5 h . Methyl 3-iodocinnamate ${ }^{31}$ ( 47 $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.518 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture warmed at reflux ( $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bath temperature, 12 h ). The reaction mixture was cooled, poured over $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Chromatog raphy (PCTLC, $1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SiO}{ }_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-hexane eluant) afforded $48\left(140 \mathrm{mg}, 230 \mathrm{mg}\right.$ theoretical yield, $61 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}=$ $\mathrm{CH}), 7.42-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.47\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2}\right.$ ), 2.86 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), $2.83\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right.$ ), 1.43 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$-Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3030,2982,2930,2864,1709$, $1692,1635,1576,1501,1460,1440,1385,1326,1327,1270,1241$, $1166,1042,1002,975,857,788 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;$ EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) $411\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 355(22), 338$ (4), 306 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $412\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 1\right), 370(40), 356$ (base); HRMS, $m / e 411.2035\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 411.2046).

Methyl 3-[3-(4-(2-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethylamino)ethyl)phenoxy)phenyl]propanoate (49). A solution of 48 (97 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.240 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{wt}$ equiv) and placed under an atmosphere of hydrogen ( 30 psi , Parr hydrogenation apparatus) After $12 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite ( MeOH ) and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ afforded $49(95 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $98 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ppm) $7.3-6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar~H}), 3.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.46(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 2.87 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.82 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.64 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.44 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$ - $\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3855,2975,2927,1737,1695,1605,1585,1506,1485,1448$, 1392, 1365, 1249, 1216, 1168, 1035, 884, 831, $772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) $413\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 359$ (19), 325 (13), 309 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $414\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 1\right), 371$ (39), 357 (base); HRMS, $m / e 413.2126\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right.$ requires 413.2202)

Methyl 3-[3-[4-(2-(Methylamino)ethyl)phenoxy]phenyl] propanoate ( 50 ). A solution of $49(215 \mathrm{mg}, 0.537 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL of TFA $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with 4 mL of $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) afforded $\mathbf{5 0}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 152$ mg theoretical yield, $94 \%$ ) as a clear yellow solid ( mp 149-153 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}\right)$ which was used directly in the following reaction.

Boc-d-Ala-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(p-$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ - $\mathrm{O}-\left(\boldsymbol{m}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (51). A solution of 50 ( 0.150 $\mathrm{g}, 0.487 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added to a solution of 13 b $(0.254 \mathrm{~g}, 0.487 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and EDCI ( $0.145 \mathrm{~g}, 0.487 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv) in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for $24 \mathrm{~h}\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, poured onto water ( 3 mL ), and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded 51 ( $282 \mathrm{mg}, 433 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $65 \%$ ) as a pale yellow solid: mp $159-162^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH, white plates); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}-42.2^{\circ}$ (c $0.9, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.3-6.80$

[^11](m, $12 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.30 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 4.88 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), $3.66\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.94$ and 2.91 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.86 and 2.87 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.64 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.43 and 1.41 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$ - $\mathrm{Boc} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{9} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $0.49\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3855,3287,2984$, $1735,1670,1586,1509,1487,1449,1368,1302,1250,1202,1176$, $1034,832,798,721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 615 (1), 485 (1), 449 (1), 391 (16), 279 (8), 225 (75), 149 (54), 57 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 615 (1), 578 (1), 520 (1), 505 (1), 449 (base), 416 (52), 225 (67), 186 (30), 136 (96), 120 (77). Reverse-phase HPLC: $>99 \%$, $t_{\mathrm{R}} 18 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0-12 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$
Boc-d-Ala-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}$ - Me - $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OMe}\right.$ )-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\boldsymbol{p}$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ - O - $\left(\boldsymbol{m}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (52). A solution of $51(282 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.341 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2 mL of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1: 1)$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with lithium hydroxide monohydrate $(45 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was warmed to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 6 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and poured onto $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) afforded $52(230 \mathrm{mg}, 281 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $82 \%$ ) as a white powder: mp $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-36.7^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.4-6.8(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~m},{ }^{a} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 3.80 and 3.79 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.00 and 2.96 (two s, 3 H , $\mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.96 and 2.92 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.44 and 1.42 (two s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$ - Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.34 and 1.32 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3855,3753,3677$, $3296,2980,2935,1718,1654,1514,1488,1457,1394,1368,1301$, 1250, 1171, 1070, 1035, 953, 912, 824, 797, $720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EIMS, $m / e$ (relative intensity) 434 (1), 423 (1), 378 (1), 360 (1), 249 (2), 194 (7), 164 (5), 121 (12), 44 (base); CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 731 (3), 718 (9), 704 (4), 618 (18), 600 (11), 586 (16), 576 (67), 562 (33), 399 (12), 385 (base), 371 (43), 316 (66), 263 (20), 234 (12)
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala- $\boldsymbol{N}$-Me-Tyr-Ala-N( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (p$\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ - $\mathrm{O}-\left(\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ) (11): Method A. A solution of $52(86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.107 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$ at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for 2 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo to afford the crude trifluoroacetic acid salt of 53 as an extremely hygroscopic, crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction. For $53 \cdot \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{mp} 182-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOHhexane); $[\alpha]^{22}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}-26.4^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$, ppm) $7.4-6.8\left(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH} \mathrm{H}\right.$, $3.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right), 2.62(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.34 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{9} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3903,3854,3839,3822,3802,3752$, $3735,3712,3690,3676,3650,3630,3288,2926,2855,2363,2344$, $1781,1735,1684,1653,1637,1577,1559,1541,1507,1489,1457$, $1420,1170,1030,983,798,723 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
A solution of the trifluoroacetic acid salt of $53(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.107$ mmol ) in 13.3 mL of DMF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sequentially treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.535 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv) and DPPA ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( 5 mL ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{cm}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded $11(45 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $61 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil which solidified on standing: mp $142-145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, light yellow needles); $[\alpha]^{22}$ D $-41.2^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ $8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.4-6.6(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ and 3.70 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right.$ )), 3.01 and 2.6 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 2.96 and 2.90 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.38 and 1.32 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.24 and 1.20 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{8}{ }^{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3855,3879,3803,3746,3736,3691,3677,3650,3630$, 3314, 2922, 2851, 2473, 1718, 1636, 1559, 1541, 1507, 1458, 1249, $1176,1035,799 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $686\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 12\right), 672$ (82), 654 (13), 390 (15), 316 (43). Re-verse-phase HPLC: $>97 \%$, $t_{\mathrm{R}} 18 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 0-10 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$.
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala-N-( $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ( $p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ )-O-( $\left.\boldsymbol{m}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ ) (11): Method B. A so-
lution of $52(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated sequentially with EDCI ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pentafluorophenol ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.137 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv). The reaction mixture was warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was washed with water ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2$ $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 7 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded 54 ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 120 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $76 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-37.1^{\circ}(c 1.1, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.4-6.7(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.80$ (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 1.45 (br s, $9 \mathrm{H}, t$ - Boc $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.34 (d, 3 H , $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3854,3839,3752,3676,3650,3312,2978,2935,2668,1752$, $1638,1521,1448,1419,1368,1248,1172,1112,1005,855,825,788$, $735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
A solution of 54 ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{TFA} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for 2 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo to afford the crude trifluoroacetic acid salt of 55 as a hygroscopic, crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction.

A solution of the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 55 ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.094$ mmol ) in 1 mL of DMF was added dropwise over 2-3 h (using a motor driven syringe pump) to a warm ( $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) solution of pyridine ( 313 mL ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for an additional $5 \mathrm{~h}\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue dissolved in 2 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with water $(3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}\right.$, $5-10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded 11 ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $49 \%$ ) as a clear yellow oil which solidified on standing.
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala) (12): Method A. A solution of $13 \mathrm{~b}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred 1.5 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo to afford the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 56 as an extremely hygroscopic, crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction. For $56 . \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ : $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-21.6^{\circ}(c 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.86 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.80 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 1.6-1.2 (m, Ala $\left.{ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3802,3650,3630$, $2929,1718,1670,1654,1637,1559,1541,1515,1458,1420,1250$, 1201, $1141,1034,799,722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of the trifluoroacetic acid salt of $56 \mathbf{( 5 6 ~ m g}, 0.067$ mmol ) in 0.4 mL of DMF was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated sequentially with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.335 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv) and DPPA ( $19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.087 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 72 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with water ( 1 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and saturated aqueous NaCl , dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 15\right.$
$\mathrm{cm}, 7 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded 12 ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $68 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing: $\mathrm{mp} 149-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, light yellow needles); $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-19.9^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.18 and 7.12 (two s, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.87 and 6.85 (two s, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.40 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 6.18 and 6.12 (two d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), $4.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{CH}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)\right.$ ), 3.04 and 2.95 (two $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.38 (d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}{ }^{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.29(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\text {max }}$ $3754,3290,3062,2984,2936,1655,1514,1492,1452,1406,1378$, 1301, 1249, 1208, 1179, 1108, 1033, 919, 824, 778, 735 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; CIMS (isobutane), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $405\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}, 1\right), 334$ (base), 283 (42). Reverse-phase HPLC: $97.8 \%, t_{\mathrm{R}} 12 \mathrm{~min}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, $0-12 \%$ methanol-water gradient elution $(0.5 \% / \mathrm{min})$.
cyclo-(D-Ala-Ala-N-Me-Tyr(OMe)-Ala) (12): Method B. A solution of 13 b ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated sequentially with $\operatorname{EDCI}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and pentafluorophenol ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.104 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 24 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed with water ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. Short column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}, 3 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded 57 ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $67 \%$ ) as a yellow oil: $[\alpha]^{22} \mathrm{D}-22.9^{\circ}$ (c $1.2, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 7.10$ and 7.06 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.86 and 6.80 (two d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ ), 3.00 and 2.86 (two s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}$ ), 1.68 and 1.63 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.30 and 1.26 (two d, $3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ala ${ }^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $0.42\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ala}^{\beta} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ); IR (neat) $\nu_{\max } 3286,2937,1793,1685,1654,1636,1519,1457,1368,1256$, $1167,1100,996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A solution of $57(48 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2 mL of TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred for 1.2 h . The solvents were removed in vacuo to afford the trifluoroacetic acid salt of 58 as a hygroscopic, crystalline solid which was used directly in the following reaction.
A solution of the trifluoroacetic acid salt of $58(49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069$ mmol ) in 5 mL of DMF was added dropwise over 8 h (using a motor driven syringe pump) to a warm $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of pyridine $(230 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the addition was complete the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in 1 mL of EtOAc. The EtOAc solution was washed with water ( $3 \times 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, $1 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ eluant) afforded $12(14 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \mathrm{mg}$ theoretical yield, $50 \%$ ) as a yellow oil which solidified on standing.
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#### Abstract

Three branched triribonucleotides, consisting of an adenosine linked at $3^{\prime}$ to a cytidine and at $2^{\prime}$ to a guanosine or to a 2 -aminopurine ribonucleoside bearing on its 6-position a phenylthio or a dimethylamino group, have been synthesized from a common precursor. These compounds, which may prove to be useful for understanding RNA splicing, were unambiguously characterized by NMR and mass spectra analysis as well as by enzymatic hydrolysis.


It is now established that, during the splicing of eukaryotic messenger RNA precursors, the intervening se-
quences are excised in the form of lariat or tailed circular RNA molecules. ${ }^{1}$ The branch point of these lariat
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